

April 25, 1991

LB 91A

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Ashford. Senator Abboud, on the Lynch amendment to LB 91A. I don't see Senator...Senator Wesely, on the Lynch amendment. Senator Moore, on the Lynch amendment. Senator Lynch, yours is the only light on then. Would you like to close on your amendment?

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. Speaker and members, I think everything that has to be said really has been said. Certainly understand the concerns some people may have. As we work our way through the session and with this bill, I'm certainly available to answer any questions you might have. With that, I would really appreciate your support on this amendment to the A bill.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard Senator Lynch's closing. We will now vote on the amendment offered by Senator Lynch to LB 91A. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Lynch's amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Lynch's amendment is adopted. We will now proceed with debate on the advancement of LB 91A. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I have a question for Senator Withem.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Withem, would you respond, please.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Withem, as I recall, the way LB 91 was amended in committee, there is a provision which involves the limitation of LB 1059. As I recall, the way the amendment and the way the bill was advanced now, is that if the amount that was appropriated last year for LB 91 at 20 million was reduced, that that reduction of 5 million goes into the base of the individual school districts and in effect allows a 5 percent or 250,000 statewide which is insignificant but a 250,000 increase otherwise would not be able to be done. And the reverse was true that if the 20 million was increased under those circumstances, it was outside the base and didn't restrict the school district but it did give them additional spending